More about sources

• Exitance of a source is
  – the internally generated power radiated per unit area on the
    radiating surface
• A source will have both
  – radiosity, because it reflects
  – exitance, because it emits

Radiosity leaving = Exitance + Radiosity due to incoming light

More about sources

What about multiple lights?

The effect of lighting is additive. The effect of multiple lights is the sum of the effects over the individual lights.

What about real, nearby, point sources?

The effect of lighting falls off as $1/r^2$.

What about extended sources?

The effect of light is additive. We can approximate an extended source as a collection of small point sources.

Standard nearby point source model
(Lambertian reflection)

$$\rho_d(X) \left( \mathbf{\hat{n}}(X) \cdot \mathbf{S}(X) \right) \frac{1}{r(X)^2}$$

• $\mathbf{\hat{n}}(X)$ is the illuminated surface normal
• $\rho_d(X)$ is diffuse albedo
• $\mathbf{S}(X)$ is source vector - a vector from $X$ to the source, whose length is the intensity term
  – this works because a dot-product is basically a cosine
• $r(X)$ is distance from surface point to source --- term occurs because source “looks smaller” as we move away---or, alternatively, its energy is spread out over a larger surface.

Standard distant point source model

• If the source is far away, this formula reduces to the same as the Lambertian formula from before:

  then $r(X) \equiv R$

  and $\mathbf{S}(X) \equiv \mathbf{S}$

  if we let $\mathbf{S}_d = \left( \frac{\mathbf{S}}{R^2} \right)$

  we get $\rho_d(X)(\mathbf{\hat{n}}(X) \cdot \mathbf{S}_d)$
Line sources

Radiosity due to line source varies with inverse distance, if the source is long enough (derivation is through integration of the contributions along the line).

General extended sources

Can be handled by doing the integration (we won’t)

What if the source is large relative to the distance to it?

Answer: Modest changes in position have no effect.

How about the hemisphere of the sky?

Answer: Directionally uniform; changes in position have no effect.

Shadows cast by a point source

- A point that can’t see the source is in shadow
- For point sources, the geometry is simple

Ray-traced Cornell box, due to Henrik Jensen, http://www.gk.dtu.dk/~hwj
Shadows cast by a non-point source?

Non-source (indirect illumination)?

Radiosity Cornell box, due to Henrik Jensen, http://www.gk.dtu.dk/~hwj, rendered with ray tracer

Flat shading

- Compute shading value inside polygon using interpolate
- Flat shading
  - Use polygon normal for Lambertian shading
  - Advantages:
    - fast -- one shading value per polygon
  - Disadvantages:
    - inaccurate -- looks blocky

Figure from http://freespace.virgin.net/hugo.elias/graphics/x_polygo.htm
Gouraud (Interpolated) Shading

- Use normal at each vertex of polygon
  - known either from the “mesh” construction, OR, average normals where polygons meet.
- Shade these, and linearly interpolate
- Advantages
  - fast (interpolation can even be put into scan line algorithm)
  - much smoother
- Disadvantages:
  - specularities get lost

Phong Shading

- Interpolate normals instead of pixel values
  - Shade using normal estimate for each point
- Advantage
  - high quality, narrow specularities
- Disadvantage
  - more expensive than Gouraud

from http://www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Horizon/6933/shading.html