Math aside, #1

Linear Least Squares (§3.1)

- Very common problem in vision: solve an over-constrained system of linear equations
 - e.g., Ux=y, where U has more rows than needed
 - e.g., Ux=0, |x|=1, where U has more rows than needed
- More equations allows multiple measurements to be used
- Least squares means that you minimize squared error (the difference between your model and your data)
- Least squares minimization is (relatively) easy
- Not very robust to outliers (assumes error is Gaussian)

Linear Least Squares (§3.1)

We will look at two problems

First, $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ where U has more rows than needed

Second, $U\mathbf{x} = 0$ subject to $|\mathbf{x}| = 1$ where U has more rows than needed

We can use the **first** for naïve spectral camera calibration.

We will use the **second** problem for geometric camera calibration.

Non-homogeneous Least Squares*

Problem one $U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$ where U has more rows than needed

U is not square, so inverting it does not work

In fact, usually **there is no solution**. We need to redefine what it means to "solve the equation".

We seek the "best" answer but what is that?

* This is regression by a different name.

Non-homogeneous Least Squares

Define
$$\mathbf{e} = U\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$$
 and $E = |\mathbf{e}|^2 = \mathbf{e}^T \mathbf{e}$

The least squares solution which is the one that has minimum E.

We can derive the answer by differentiating with respect to each x_i , and setting all resulting equations to zero (see supplementary slides and/or homework).

The answer is given by

 $\mathbf{x} = U^{\dagger}\mathbf{y}$ where $U^{\dagger} = (U^{T}U)^{-1}U^{T}$ is the pseudoinverse of U

Non-homogeneous linear least squares summary (the part you need to know)

You should be able to set up

$$U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$

You should know that it is solved by

 $\mathbf{x} = U^{\dagger}\mathbf{y}$ where U^{\dagger} is the pseudoinverse of U

You can assume that you can look up

$$U^{\dagger} = (U^T U)^{-1} U^T$$

*You should also keep in mind that for numerical stability, one may want to use a different approach to solve

$$U^T U \mathbf{x} = U^T \mathbf{y}$$

without matrix inversion.

Non-homogeneous linear least squares (example one---naïve spectral camera calibration)

Remember the fact that the camera has a spectral sensitivity $R(\lambda)$. So how do we find it out?

Recall that
$$\rho = \int L(\lambda)R(\lambda)d\lambda$$

has the discrete version

$$\rho = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{R}$$

(previously we accounted for multiple channels with the superscript (k), but here we just consider each channel separately)

Non-homogeneous linear least squares (example one---naïve spectral camera calibration)

Strategy: measure some spectra entering the camera, L_i , and note the response, ρ_i .

So we have, for a bunch of measurements, i:

$$\rho_i = \mathbf{L}_i \cdot \mathbf{R}$$

If we don't have enough measurements, then the problem is under constrained. To account for noise, we want to use multiple measurements.

Non-homogeneous linear least squares (example one---naïve spectral camera calibration)

From previous slide:

$$\rho_i = L_i \cdot R$$

(for a number of measurements indexed by i.)

We form a matrix L with rows L_i , a vector P with elements ρ_i , and solve the least squares equation

$$LR = P$$

(R is the unknown).

Spectral camera calibration improvements

- A) Constrain the sensitivities to be positive
- B) Promote the sensitivity functions to be smooth (This is often referred to as regularization).

How to do this? See grad student part of next assignment.