

Linear Least Squares (§3.1)

- Very common problem in vision: solve an over-constrained system of linear equations
 - e.g., $Ux=y$, where U has more rows than needed
 - e.g., $Ux=0, |x|=1$, where U has more rows than needed
- More equations allows multiple measurements to be used
- Least squares means that you minimize squared error (the difference between your model and your data)
- Least squares minimization is (relatively) easy
- Not very robust to outliers (assumes error is Gaussian)

Linear Least Squares (§3.1)

We will look at two problems

First, $Ux = y$ where U has more rows than needed

Second, $Ux = 0$ subject to $|x| = 1$ where U has more rows than needed

We can use the **first** for naïve spectral camera calibration.

We will use the **second** problem for geometric camera calibration.

Non-homogeneous Least Squares*

Problem one $Ux = y$ where U has more rows than needed

U is not square, so inverting it does not work

In fact, usually **there is no solution**. We need to redefine what it means to “solve the equation”.

We seek the “best” answer but what is that?

* This is regression by a different name.

Non-homogeneous Least Squares

Define $e = Ux - y$ and $E = |e|^2 = e^T e$

The least squares solution which is the one that has minimum E .

We can derive the answer by differentiating with respect to each x_i , and setting all resulting equations to zero (see supplementary slides and/or homework).

The answer is given by

$x = U^\dagger y$ where $U^\dagger = (U^T U)^{-1} U^T$ is the pseudoinverse of U

Important

Non-homogeneous linear least squares summary (the part you need to know)

You should be able to set up

$$U\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$$

You should know that it is solved by

$$\mathbf{x} = U^\dagger \mathbf{y} \text{ where } U^\dagger \text{ is the pseudoinverse of } U$$

You can assume that you can look up

$$U^\dagger = (U^T U)^{-1} U^T$$

*You should also keep in mind that for numerical stability, one may want to use a different approach to solve

$$U^T U \mathbf{x} = U^T \mathbf{y}$$

without matrix inversion.

Important

Non-homogeneous linear least squares (example one---naïve spectral camera calibration)

Remember the fact that the camera has a spectral sensitivity $R(\lambda)$. So how do we find it out?

Recall that
$$\rho = \int L(\lambda) R(\lambda) d\lambda$$

has the discrete version

$$\rho = \mathbf{L} \bullet \mathbf{R}$$

(previously we accounted for multiple channels with the superscript (k), but here we just consider each channel separately)

Important

Non-homogeneous linear least squares (example one---naïve spectral camera calibration)

Strategy: measure some spectra entering the camera, $\mathbf{L}_i$, and note the response, ρ_i .

So we have, for a bunch of measurements, i:

$$\rho_i = \mathbf{L}_i \bullet \mathbf{R}$$

If we don't have enough measurements, then the problem is under constrained. To account for noise, we want to use multiple measurements.

Important

Non-homogeneous linear least squares (example one---naïve spectral camera calibration)

From previous slide:

$$\rho_i = \mathbf{L}_i \bullet \mathbf{R}$$

(for a number of measurements indexed by i .)

We form a matrix $\mathbf{L}$ with rows $\mathbf{L}_i$, a vector $\mathbf{P}$ with elements ρ_i , and solve the least squares equation

$$\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{P}$$

($\mathbf{R}$ is the unknown).

Spectral camera calibration improvements

- A) Constrain the sensitivities to be positive
- B) Promote the sensitivity functions to be smooth
(This is often referred to as regularization).

How to do this? See grad student part of next assignment.