Clustering using a generative statistical model

Associate each cluster with the same model type, but with different parameters.

Example (Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)),
\[ p(x|c) = N(u_c, \Sigma_c) \]

or, assuming feature independence,
\[ p(x|c) = N(u_c, \sigma^2_c) \]

\( p(x|c) \) could also be a product of independent multinomials, or, even a product of different distributions (roll your own!).

Inference given a clustering

Given a learned clustering model (either supervised or unsupervised), we can compute a posterior probability of which cluster an instance belongs to.

\[ p(c|x) \propto p(x|c) p(c) \]

Easily normalized since the number of clusters is limited:
\[ p(c|x) = \frac{p(x|c) p(c)}{\sum_c p(x|c) p(c)} \]

Clustering models representing data statistics

What is the distribution of data best described by clusters? (Example, data coming from a bimodal distribution?)

\[ p(x) = \sum_c p(x,c) \]
\[ = \sum_c p(c) p(x|c) \]

Generative story:
1) choose a cluster with probability, \( p(c) \).
2) sample from \( p(x|c) \).
3) rinse and repeat.
Clustering models representing data statistics

Distribution of data described by clusters.

\[ p(x) = \sum_c p(c) p(x|c) \]

Distribution modeled 3 multivariate Gaussians.

Even if we know the exact model, we cannot be sure from the data which point comes from which cluster. We only have the distribution for this.

Learning the parameters from data

For concreteness, assume GMM

Assume K clusters

The goal is to learn mixing coefficients, \( p(c) \), and cluster parameters for \( p(x|c) \) for all K clusters indexed by \( c \).

Learning the parameters from data

The goal is to learn mixing coefficients, \( p(c) \), and cluster parameters for \( p(x|c) \) for all K clusters indexed by \( c \).

From previous arguments, given \( p(x|c) \), we know the distribution over clusters for each data point.

Hence we simultaneously cluster and learn a cluster model.

Learning the parameters from data

\[ p(x|\theta) = \sum_c p(c) p(x|c, \theta_c) \]

Probability of all observed data will be the objective function

\[ p(\{x_i\}|\theta) = \prod_i \left( \sum_c p(c) p(x_i|c, \theta_c) \right) \] (want this to be large)

or

\[ \sum_i \log \left( \sum_c p(c) p(x_i|c, \theta_c) \right) \] (should be large)
**Expectation Maximization (EM)**

Operationally this is similar to K-means.

Observe that:

- If we knew the cluster assignments, we could estimate the parameters for $p(x|c)$.
- If we knew $p(x|c)$, we can make cluster assignments.

---

**EM flow chart**

Initialize

- Guess distribution over correspondence
- OR
- Guess model parameters

Assume correspondence distribution is fixed. Update model parameters using max likelihood

Assume model is fixed. Find correspondence probabilities (e.g., which point is in which cluster)

---

**Expectation Maximization (EM)**

Difference with K-means.

We have **distributions** over the assignments, $p(c|x)$.

This leads us to work with expectations.

---

**EM for GMM**

$$p(x) = \sum_c p(c)p(x|c) \quad \text{where} \quad p(x|c) = \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$$

And, for multiple points

$$p(\{x_i\}|\Theta) = \prod_i \left( \sum_c p(c)p(x|c) \right)$$

This is our objective function.
EM for GMM

Assume we have estimates for the probability distribution over clusters for each point (the “egg”).

\[ p(c \mid x_i, \Theta^{(s)}) \quad (s \text{ indexes iteration (step))}. \]

These are called the responsibilities (of the cluster for the point).

EM for GMM

- We estimate the mean for each segment naturally by:

\[
\mu_{c}^{(s+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \cdot p(c \mid x_i, \Theta^{(s)})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(c \mid x_i, \Theta^{(s)})} \quad \text{(weighted average)}
\]

- Variances/covariances work similarly

EM for GMM

- Also, intuitively,

\[
p(c) = \frac{\sum_{i} p(c \mid x_i, \Theta^{(s)})}{\sum_{c} \sum_{i} p(c \mid x_i, \Theta^{(s)})} = \frac{\sum_{i} p(c \mid x_i, \Theta^{(s)})}{N}
\]

We can sort out the chicken!
EM for GMM

Given the parameters (the chicken), the probability that a given point is associated with each cluster is computed by:

\[ p(c \mid x, \Theta^{(s)}) = \frac{\pi_c^{(s)} \cdot p(x \mid \Theta_c^{(s)})}{\sum_c \pi_c^{(s)} \cdot p(x \mid \Theta_c^{(s)})} \]

(Note that we select \( \Theta_c^{(s)} \) from \( \Theta^{(s)} \).)

where \( \pi_c^{(s)} = p(c \mid \Theta_c^{(s)}) \) i.e., \( \pi_c^{(s)} \) is part of \( \Theta_c^{(s)} \).

This is the cluster membership discussed before, with less formal notation: \( p(c \mid x) \propto p(c) p(x \mid c) \)

We can do the egg!