Sequential data

Sequential data is everywhere.

Examples:
- spoken language (word production)
- written language (sentence level statistics)
- weather
- human movement
- stock market data

Graphical models for such data?

The complexity of the representation seems to increase with time.

Observations over time tend to depend on the past.

We can simply life by assuming that the distant past does not matter.

If we assume that history does not matter other than the immediate previous state, we have a first order Markov model.

If what happens now depends on two previous states, we have a second order Markov model.

Markov chains

- Zeroth order
  - $x_1 \ x_2 \ x_3 \ x_4 \ \ldots$

- First order
  - $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4$

- Second order
  - $x_1 \rightarrow x_2 \rightarrow x_3 \rightarrow x_4$

Temporal statistical clustering

In sequence data, cluster membership can have temporal (or sequential) structure.

The data comes from the current cluster (as usual), but what is the next cluster?

Example, rain and sleet come from “stormy” and sunshine from “fair weather”.

But now, our hidden cluster variables are what depends on the past. The previous “state” represents all history.
Temporal statistical clustering

Hidden Markov Model (HMM).

The particular state encodes the important part of history.

Markovian assumptions

As before, if the current state depends on only the previous state, we have a first order Markov model.

The basic HMM is like a mixture model, with the mix of mixture components being used for the current observations depends on the last mixture component.

Markovian assumptions

Represent each component as a “state”.

Then, for first order Markov models, this leads to the concept of “transition” probabilities.

\[ A_{jk} \equiv p(z_{nk} = 1 | z_{nj} = 1) \]

\[ 0 \leq A_{jk} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_k A_{jk} = 1 \]

The random variable, z, is a vector over K possible states (e.g., two for stormy vs fair-weather), for each time point.
Starting state

Our HMM will be a generative model, so we need to know how to start.

\[ \pi_k \equiv p(z_{ik} = 1) \]

with \( 0 \leq \pi_k \leq 1 \) and \( \sum_k \pi_k = 1 \)
HMM parameter summary

\[ \theta = \{ \pi, A, \phi \} \]

- \( \pi \) is probability over initial states
- \( A \) is transition matrix
- \( \phi \) are the data emission probabilities
  (e.g., means of Gaussians)

Data distribution from an HMM

\[ p(X|\theta) \text{ is a marginalization over } Z. \]
\[ p(X,Z|\theta) = ? \]

Data distribution from an HMM

An HMM is specified by: \( \theta = \{ \pi, A, \phi \} \)

\[ p(X,Z|\theta) = p(z_1|\pi) \prod_{n=2}^{N} p(z_n|z_{n-1}, A) \prod_{m=1}^{N} p(x_m|z_m, \phi) \]

(complete data, i.e., we can generate from this).

Data distribution from an HMM

Transition probability to another state is 5%
Classic HMM computational problems

Given data, what is the HMM \textbf{(learning)}.

Given an HMM, what is the \textbf{probability distribution of states for} each state variable (z_n in our notation).

Given an HMM, what is the most likely \textbf{state sequence} for some data?

Learning the HMM (sketch)

If we know the state distributions, we can compute the parameters.

If we know the parameters, we can compute the state distributions (provided we know how to solve the second problem).

Recall the General EM algorithm

1. Choose initial values for \(\theta^{(s)}\) \hspace{1cm} (can also do assignments, but then jump to M step).
2. E step: Evaluate \(p(Z|X,\theta^{(s)})\)
3. M step: Evaluate \(\theta^{(s+1)} = \arg \max_{\theta} \{Q(\theta^{(s)}, \theta^{(s+1)})\}\)
   where \(Q(\theta^{(s)}, \theta^{(s+1)}) = \sum_{Z} p(Z|X,\theta^{(s)}) \log \{ p(X,Z|\theta^{(s+1)}) \}\)
4. Check for convergence; If not done, goto 2.

\* At each step, our objective function is increases unless it is at a local maximum. It is important to check this is

EM for HMM (sketch)

In the simple clustering case (e.g., GMM), the E step was simple. For HMM it is a bit more involved.

The M step works a lot like the GMM. Consider it first.
EM for HMM (sketch)

\[ p(X,Z|\theta) = p(z_i|x) \left( \prod_{n=2}^{N} p(z_n | z_{n-1}, A) \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n | z_n, \phi) \]

\[ = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \left[ \prod_{n=2}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{K} \prod_{l=1}^{K} \left( p(z_n | z_{n-1}, A) \right)^{z_{n-1} \neq l} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left( p(x_n | z_n, \phi) \right)^{z_{nk}} \right] \]

Remember our “indicator variable” notation. Z is a particular assignment of the missing values (i.e., which cluster the HMM was in at each time. For each time point, i, one of the values of \( z_n \) is one, and the others are zero. So, it “selects” the factor for the particular state at that time.

EM for HMM (sketch)

\[ p(X,Z|\theta) = p(z_i|x) \left( \prod_{n=2}^{N} p(z_n | z_{n-1}, A) \right) \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n | z_n, \phi) \]

\[ = \prod_{k=1}^{K} \pi_k \left[ \prod_{n=2}^{N} \prod_{j=1}^{K} \prod_{l=1}^{K} \left( p(z_n | z_{n-1}, A) \right)^{z_{n-1} \neq l} \prod_{n=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{K} \left( p(x_n | z_n, \phi) \right)^{z_{nk}} \right] \]

\[ \log(p(X,Z|\theta)) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log(\pi_k) + \sum_{n=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{l=1}^{K} z_{n-1,j} \log(p(z_n | z_{n-1}, A)) + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} z_{nk} \log(p(x_n | z_n, \phi)) \]