Graphical Models

Reference for much of the next topic is Chapter 8 of Bishop

Available on-line http://research.microsoft.com/~cmbishop/PRML

(Linked from course page).

Graphical Models

- Various kinds
 - Directed (Bayesian networks)
 - Undirected (e.g., Markov random field)
 - Factor graphs (different representation, applicable to both)



Graphical Models

- Graphical representation of statistical models
- Nodes
 Random variables (or groups of them)
- Edges
 - Probabilistic relationships between nodes

Directed Graphical Models

- Nodes represent random variables
- Edges between nodes have directed links
- No cycles

a

- The graph represents a **factorization** of the joint probability of all the random variables represented by the nodes.
 - An arrow from one node (a) to another one (b) means that the second node (b) is conditioned on the first (a).
 - In other words, if you have information about (a), then you have information about (b).
 - Thus the arrows tell you about information flow.



Here we have two nodes, a and b.

а

b

So this is a representation of the joint distribution p(a, b).

In particular, it is equivalent to writing $p(a,b) = p(b \mid a) p(a)$

Ancestral sampling version of the story: To sample from p(a, b)First sample \tilde{a} from p(a)Then sample \tilde{b} from $p(b \mid \tilde{a})$

Directed Graphical Models

• A story of three random variables a, b, and c.

• General model is p(a,b,c) (understand this!)

- What are possible relationships of a, b, and c?
 - Independence: p(a,b,c)=p(a)p(b)p(c)
 - Some structure: e.g., p(a,b,c)=p(a)p(bla)p(cla)
 - Arbitrary relationship



p(a,b,c) = p(a)p(bla)p(cla)



p(a,b,c) with no identified independence

 $p(a,b,c) = p(a)p(b \mid a)p(c \mid a,b)$

 $p(a,b,c) = p(b)p(c \mid b)p(a \mid c,b)$

• • •

p(a,b,c) = p(a)p(bla)p(cla,b)



Note that the graph is fully connected



Univariate Gaussian with known variance (§8.2 in Bishop)

$$D = \left\{ x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N \right\}$$

$$p(D, \mu) = p(\mu) \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n \mid \mu)$$

where

$$p(x_n \mid \mu) = \mathbb{N}(x_n \mid \mu; \sigma^2)$$





Observed variables

We indicate observed variables by shading them

Alternatively, this indicates conditioning









If you know *a*, that informs you about *c* (by Bayes) which informs you about *b*.









$$p(a,b) = \sum p(a,b,c) = p(a) \sum p(c|a) p(b|c)$$

If $a \perp b$ then the above is also equal to p(a)p(b)

We can easily construct a counter example. Let *a* be a fair coin flip. Let *c* be a choice of unfair coin. If *a* is heads, then we are 90% likely to have a coin B_H biased by 90% to heads, and similarly for tails. Then,

$$p(H,H) = \frac{1}{2} \Big(p(c = B_H | a = H) p(b = H | c = B_H) + p(c = B_T | a = H) p(b = H | c = B_T) \Big)$$

= $\frac{1}{2} (0.9 * 0.9 + 0.1 * 0.1)$
= 0.41
On the other hand, by symmetry, $p(a=H)=p(b=H)=\frac{1}{2}$, and $p(a=H)*p(b=H)=\frac{1}{4}$

Case two where *c* is observed $a \perp b \mid c$ $p(a,b \mid c) = \frac{p(a,b,c)}{p(c)} \qquad (definition)$ $= \frac{p(a)p(c\mid a)p(b\mid c)}{p(c)} \qquad (from graph)$ $= \frac{p(a)p(a\mid c)p(c)p(b\mid c)}{p(a)p(c)} \qquad (Bayes on p(c\mid a))$ $= p(a\mid c)p(b\mid c) \qquad (canceling factors)$















