## Max-sum algorithm

Method to compute.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}^{\max }=\underset{\mathbf{x}}{\arg \max } p(\mathbf{x}) \\
& \text { i.e., } p\left(\mathbf{x}^{\max }\right)=\max _{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

## Recall inference on chains



$p(\mathrm{x})=\psi_{1,2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \psi_{2,3}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \quad \ldots \quad \psi_{N-2, N-1}\left(x_{N-2}, x_{N-1}\right) \psi_{N-1, N}\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)$

Naive compution of $\arg \max p(\mathbf{x})$
would evauate the above for each value of x , and take the max.

Too expensive!!

## Helpful facts

First, note that.

$$
\max _{\mathbf{x}} p(\mathbf{x})=\max _{x_{1}} \max _{x_{2}} \ldots \max _{x_{M}} p(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text { (any order you like) }
$$

Second, note that.

$$
\max (a b, a c)=a \max (b, c) \quad(\text { for } a \geq 0)
$$

So, as you might expect

$$
\max _{x_{i}, y_{j}}\left(x_{i} y_{j}\right)=\left(\max _{x_{i}}\left(x_{i}\right)\right)\left(\max _{y_{j}}\left(y_{j}\right)\right) \quad\left(\text { for } x_{i}, y_{j} \geq 0\right)
$$

## Recall speeding up marginalization

$$
p\left(x_{n}\right)=\left(\sum_{x_{n-1}} \sum_{x_{n-2}} \ldots \sum_{x_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_{n-i, n-i+1}\left(x_{n-i}, x_{n-i+1}\right)\right)\left(\sum_{x_{n+1}} \ldots \sum_{x_{N-1}} \sum_{x_{N}} \prod_{i=n}^{N-1} \psi_{i, i+1}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)\right)
$$

where
$\sum_{x_{n=1}} \sum_{x_{n=2}} \ldots \sum_{x_{1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \psi_{n-i, n-t+1}\left(x_{n-i}, x_{n-i+1}\right)=\left\{\sum_{x_{n=1}} \psi_{n-1, n}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right) \ldots\left\{\sum_{x_{3}} \psi_{3,4}\left(x_{3}, x_{4}\right)\left\{\sum_{x_{2}} \psi_{2,3}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\left\{\sum_{x_{1}} \psi_{1,2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\}\right\}\right\}\right\}$
and
$\sum_{x_{n+1}} \ldots \sum_{x_{x-1}} \sum_{x_{N}} \prod_{i=n}^{N-1} \psi_{i, i+1}\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right)=\left\{\sum_{x_{n+1}} \psi_{n, n+1}\left(x_{n}, x_{n+1}\right) \ldots\left\{\sum_{x_{N-1}} \psi_{N-2, N-1}\left(x_{N-2}, x_{N-1}\right)\left\{\sum_{x_{N}} \psi_{N-1, N}\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)\right\}\right\} \ldots\right\}$

What if we could do with $\max ()$ what we are doing with $\Sigma$ ?

## Max on chains


(move products not involving $x_{N}$ outside $\max _{x_{N}}\left(\psi_{N-1, N}\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)\right)$
$=\max _{x_{1}}\left[\max _{x_{2}}\left(\psi_{1,2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot \max _{x_{3}}\left(\ldots \max _{x_{N-1}}\left(\psi_{N-2, N-1}\left(x_{N-2}, x_{N-1}\right) \cdot \max _{x_{N}}\left(\psi_{N-1, N}\left(x_{N-1}, x_{N}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right]\right.$
(continue moving factors out)

## Max-sum algorithm

Two steps.

1) Compute the max while remembering certain computations
2) Compute a value of $\mathbf{x}$ that achieves the max

The message passing algorithm for step (1) is clear from the analog with the "sum-product" algorithm, except that it would then be called the "max-product" algorithm.

Computing long products looses precision (*), so we switch to $\log ()$, and call it the max-sum algorithm.
(*) Less of an issue with marginalization.

## Max-sum algorithm

Develop using the analogy with the sum-product algorithm
Sums become max () and products become sums (over logs)
We will use the same notation for messages, but the semantics is a bit different (as above) and the quantities are always logs.

## Sum product on a slide


$\mu_{x_{m} \rightarrow f_{s}}\left(x_{m}\right)=\prod_{\left|\varepsilon n\left(x_{m}\right)\right| f_{s}} \mu_{f_{i} \rightarrow x_{m}}\left(x_{m}\right)$

$$
\mu_{f_{s} \rightarrow x}(x)=\sum_{x_{1}} \sum_{x_{2}} \cdots \sum_{x_{M}} f_{s}\left(x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}\right) \prod_{m \in n e\left(f_{s}\right) \backslash x} \mu_{x_{m} \rightarrow f_{s}}\left(x_{m}\right)
$$

## Max-sum algorithm

Working now in analogy with the sum-product algorithm

For initialization at leaf nodes
$\mu_{f \rightarrow x}(x)=0$
and
$\mu_{x \rightarrow f}(x)=\ln (f(x))$

## Max-sum algorithm

Develop using the analogy with the sum-product algorithm

$$
\mu_{f \rightarrow x}(x)=\max _{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}}\left[\ln f\left(x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}\right)+\sum_{m \in n(f)} \mu_{f_{x}} \mu_{x_{m} \rightarrow f}\left(x_{m}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
\mu_{x \rightarrow f}(x)=\sum_{\mid \epsilon(x)(x) f} \mu_{f \rightarrow x_{i}}(x)
$$

Recall that in sum-product: $\underbrace{\mu_{f_{x} \rightarrow x}(x)}_{\text {factor } \rightarrow \text { node }}=\sum_{x_{1}} \ldots \sum_{x_{M}} f\left(x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{M}\right) \prod_{m \in n\left(f_{s}\right) \backslash x} \underbrace{\mu_{x_{m} \rightarrow f_{s}}\left(x_{m}\right)}_{\text {node } \rightarrow \text { factor }}$

## Max-sum algorithm

Working now in analogy with the sum-product algorithm

To compute the max using the choosen root node,

$$
\ln \left(\mathrm{p}^{\max }\right)=\max _{\mathbf{x}}\left[\sum_{s s n(x)} \mu_{s \rightarrow x_{s}}(x)\right]
$$

## Max-sum algorithm

Now we need to find an $\mathbf{x}$ where $p()$ reaches the max.

This does not have an exact analogy in the sum-product algorithm.

## Why we do not know $x$ yet:

The factor-to-node messages takes a distribution for the maxima over the upstream variables, and multiplies it by the factor (sum using logs), and reports a new distribution.

We do not yet know which value in the new distribution will be part of the maximum (it is not necessarily argmax of the reported distribution).

## Max-sum algorithm

## Can passing messages backwards find the arg max?

At the root node, which is a product (sum in logs), when we find the maximum, we can easily record the argmax for that node's variable, and it will be a valid for a particular maximizing configuration.

In analogy with sum-product, we might be tempted to send messages backwards to "finish the job" to get values for the other nodes.

But this can fail if there is more than one maximal configuration.
You can get pieces of each one!
We are only sure that the value is part of some maximal configuration. You could end up with an inconsistent set of values.

## Max-sum algorithm

## Adjustment to forward message passing for "backtracking:"

The factor-to-node operations store the dependencies for the various choices of $x_{i}$.

Then, once the node-to-factor backtracking expresses a choice, a consistent set of values for $x_{i}$ for the max can be found.

For example, suppose the root node could choose either setting its variable to 2 or 3,2 . Then it sends to the incoming nodes, the value " 2 ". Those nodes need to know how their incoming links have maximized to get the value for " 2 " passed to the root.

## Max-sum algorithm (back-tracking)

In more detail, when we compute
$\mu_{f \rightarrow x}(x)=\max _{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}}\left[\ln \left(f\left(x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}\right)+\sum_{m \in n\left(f_{s} \backslash x\right.} \mu_{x_{m} \rightarrow f}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)\right]$
store
$\phi(x)=\underset{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}}{\arg \max }\left[\ln f\left(x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{M}\right)+\sum_{m \in n\left(f_{f}\right) \backslash x} \mu_{x_{m} \rightarrow f}\left(x_{m}\right)\right]$
This records the downstream choices for any upstream choice of $x$.
$\phi(x)$ stored M values for each value of $x$.

Then, once we know the overall max, we can recover a set of
$x_{i}$ that leads to it by backtracking.


